Efficiency investigation of SS and SP compensation topologies for wireless power transfer
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ABSTRACT

Wireless power transfer (WPT) using inductive and resonant coupling has been widely explored in recent years for ease and safety over conventional cable charging systems. The efficiency of WPT systems is reducing drastically due to an increase in air-gaps and misalignment between transmitter (Tx) and receiver (Rx). Many circuit topologies have been analyzed to improve the efficiency of WPT system based on symmetrical coils. However, the circuit topologies have not been studied widely for unsymmetrical coils. This paper presents the investigation of two fundamental topologies i.e. Series-Series (SS) and Series-Parallel (SP) for unsymmetrical coils as well as symmetrical coils. Theoretical analysis of both the compensation topologies have been accomplished, then modeling of both the topologies have been done using two different combination of circular coils. In first case, two similar size coils have been designed and in second case transmitter coil of higher dimensions than receiver coil is designed. The efficiency of the system has been analyzed at multiple distances in both cases by integrating coil structure with the circuit. The overall result show that when Tx is bigger than Rx, the SP topology gives better efficiency than SS counterpart.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Wireless power transfer (WPT) using inductive and resonant coupling has become one of the demanded technologies in recent years. WPT can be very useful for charging electric vehicles, electronic appliances and implantable medical devices. The idea of WPT was initially presented by Nikola Tesla in the late 19th Century [1]. WPT can be widely separated into two types; radiative or non-radiative [2, 3]. Note that because of unidirectional nature of radiative approach of WPT, it does not offer any good adjustment between the efficiency of WPT and its directionality [4]. The non-radiative WPT works on basis of inductive coupling and magnetic resonant coupling to transfer the energy from the transmitting coil to receiving coil. Usually, the self-resonance frequency of coils is achieved from parasitic capacitance and self-inductance of coils, however, the parasitic capacitances are inadequate for resonating both the coils according to estimated frequency, then a lumped capacitor can be included to make it operate on desired frequency [5, 6].

In year 2007, a technique of WPT using magnetic resonance coupling (MRC) was proposed by researchers at Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT). They used four self-resonant coils of 30 cm radius to light up a bulb of 60 Watts at 2 m distance with efficiency of 40% [8, 9]. Thereafter, the WPT technology was also commercialized for the charging of portable electronic components, such as mobile...
phones, tooth brushes etc. [7]. WPT technology using inductive and resonant coupling can be a good solution for charging of mobile phones in a room, charging of robot in future, charging of implantable medical devices etc. Nevertheless, WPT using inductive and resonant coupling possess advantages over other techniques at short and medium distances, but still this technology is ineffective because the variation in distance between the transmitter (Tx) and receiver (Rx) causes the change in resonance frequency, thus affects the efficiency. Furthermore, the misalignment between the Tx and Rx can affect the power transfer efficiency [10, 11]. Many research studies have been conducted to improve the efficiency. Study on the circuit model and frequency analysis are presented in [12, 13]. The splitting frequency occurs, when single or multiple coils from primary and secondary side come in close vicinity to each other, then the production of strong relative magnetic field is inevitable, which can cause the split of frequency in two different peaks [14], which ultimately reduces the power transfer capability of WPT system. Many circuit topologies have been studied to improve the efficiency of WPT system. Analysis of secondary series and parallel topology for inductive power transfer for achieving optimal efficiency is carried out in [15] and load independent voltage transfer is discussed, however, unsymmetrical coils have not been utilized. Hybrid topologies for WPT are investigated for constant current and constant voltage output to charge the batteries in [16]. Modeling and n-α-pareto optimization of WPT coils for electric vehicles using different topologies is conducted in [17]. A general theory focusing on SP-Combined topology is studied in [18] and equations of efficiency are derived. Analysis of WPT using SS and SP-Combined Topology is provided in [19, 20]. An optimization technique to enhance the efficiency of WPT system using SS topology is proposed in [21]. It was demonstrated that the maximum efficiency can be achieved when Tx and Rx are not on same plane and Rx has some misalignment with tilt angle of π/4. In general, tilt angle affects the coupling coefficient, however, this paper does not discuss the overall impact of coupling coefficient on the efficiency of system. Finite Element Analysis is conducted by designing two E type ferrite cores with SS compensation and a meta material is placed near the transmitter side E type core to improve the transfer distance by enhancing electromagnetic induction [22], however to design E type Tx and Rx coils may not be feasible in many situations. Simulation of Multiple Coil Model for WPT System are carried out in [23]. In this model, 4 overlapped coils were used as a Tx coil and single coil was used as a receiver coil to obtain 15% more efficiency than just single Tx coil, but this type of model has increased capital cost. Simulation and Analysis of WPT system are conducted in [24], it was concluded that the changes in number of turns of coils and distance affects the overall efficiency of system.

This paper presents the investigation of two fundamental topologies i.e. Series-Series (SS) and Series-Parallel (SP) of inductive WPT system. Simulation is performed by designing the coil and integrating it with circuit structure to examine the overall performance of the model. Theoretical analysis of both the compensation topologies have been accomplished, then modeling of both the topologies have been done using two different combination of coils. In first case, two same size coils have been taken and in second case transmitter coil of higher dimensions than receiver coil is designed. The efficiency of the system has been analyzed at multiple distances in both cases. Second case coil combination can be suitable for biomedical applications, where receiver coil mostly remains smaller as compared to transmitter coil. This paper is organized in such a manner that the section 1 includes the Introduction. Section 2 comprises of the analysis and derivation of efficiency equation for WPT system. The design of circular coil and finite element analysis is provided in section 3. The simulation results of WPT model are given in section 4 and the conclusion is presented in section 5.

2. RESEARCH METHOD

This section includes the efficiency analysis of Series-Series (SS) topology, Series-Parallel (SP) topology. The equations of efficiencies for both the circuit topologies have been derived in section 2.1 and section 2.2. The combination of symmetrical and unsymmetrical coils structures has been designed in section 2.3. The magnetic field at three different distances for both combinations is calculated using 3D finite element analysis by applying the current excitation of 1 A. The SS and SP topology models are examined for cases. In the first case the coils are symmetrical i.e. the transmitter and receiver coils remain same size, while in the second case the coils are unsymmetrical i.e. the transmitter coil is bigger than the receiver coil. In section 2.4, the coils are integrated with circuit simulations to achieve the accurate analysis results at three different distances for both cases using SS and SP topology.

2.1. Efficiency investigation and derivations of series-series (SS) topology model

To derive the equations of efficiency, an equivalent circuit of SS topology model is shown in Figure 1(a). This research considers the fundamental component of voltage and current for simplicity. Using Kirchhoff’s Voltage Law (KVL), following equations can be derived using Figure 1 (a).
\[ U_S = Z_1 I_S - j\omega M I_L \]  
\[ 0 = -j\omega M I_S + Z_2 I_L \]

where \( Z_1 = R_S + \frac{1}{j\omega L_1} + R_1 + j\omega L_1 \) and \( Z_2 = j\omega L_2 + R_2 + \frac{1}{j\omega L_2} + R_L \)

\[ M = k\sqrt{L_1 L_2} \]  

Note that the higher coupling coefficient represents the small distance between the transmitter and receiver coil and vice versa. The currents flowing through the source side and the load are calculated by simplifying (1) and (2).

\[ I_S = \frac{Z_2 U_S}{Z_1 Z_2 + (j\omega M)^2} \text{ and } I_L = \frac{j\omega M U_S}{Z_1 Z_2 + (j\omega M)^2} \]

Output power at given \( R_L \) load and the efficiency \( \eta \) can be expressed by (4),

\[ \eta_{SS} = \frac{P_{out}}{P_{inss}} \times 100 = \frac{I_L^2 R_L}{U_S I_S} \]  

Additionally, in SS and SP topology models, the resonance occurs when the reactive impedance of the coil becomes zero and the reactance of the coil approaches to zero, then resonance frequencies of the transmitter \( \omega_1 \) and receiver \( \omega_2 \) become,

\[ \omega_{1,2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L_{1,2} C_{1,2}}} \]

From the equations (3)-(5), it is concluded that the currents, voltages and efficiency can be calculated by using equivalent circuit method. According to Alanson et al. [9], there is a critical coupling parameter for distance known as the point of critical coupling (\( K_{\text{critical}} \)), apart from that point the system cannot operate a prescribed load at the maximum efficiency. In SS topology model, when the system is symmetrical, i.e. \( R_1 = R_2 = R_X \) and \( R_S = R_L = R \); then \( K_{\text{critical}} \) is given by (6).

\[ K_{\text{critical}} = \frac{R+R_L}{\omega L} \]  

As presented in (6), \( K_{\text{critical}} \) is largely confined by \( \omega L \) and the load resistance. For this reason, the series-series model is not capable of transferring power at large distance. From the above analytical equations, it can be concluded that series resonant model has their own pros and cons. The SS circuit model presents large value of maximum transfer efficiency owing to the less sensitivity for the parasitic resistance.
But when the inductance is increased for realizing greater transfer distance; that will lead to decrease the efficiency because of larger parasitic resistance loss of large-sized coils. Moreover, the parasitic resistances $R1$ and $R2$ of the coil have the huge impact on the efficiency of the system. Small the parasitic resistance, higher the overall efficiency of system and vice versa. Additionally, the derivation of circuit parameters of SP compensation topology is presented in the section 2.2.

2.2. Efficiency investigation and derivations of series-parallel (SP) topology model

The Series-Parallel (SP) topology can be defined as the series compensation from transmitter side and parallel compensation from receiver side as illustrated in Figure 1 (b). The following equations can be derived from Figure 1 (b), where $U_s$ is source voltage and $Z_1$ and $Z_{C2}$ are the corresponding impedances from primary and secondary side. In this topology $C_1$ is connected in series from primary side and $C_2$ is connected in parallel from secondary side.

$$U_s = Z_1 I_s - j\omega M C_2$$  (7)

$$0 = -j\omega M I_s + Z_{C2} I_s - \frac{1}{j\omega C_2} I_L$$  (8)

$$0 = - I_{C2} \frac{1}{j\omega C_2} + (\frac{1}{j\omega C_2} + R_L) I_L$$  (9)

where

$$Z_1 = R_s + \frac{1}{j\omega C_1} + R_1 + j\omega L_1$$

and

$$Z_{C2} = j\omega L_2 + R_2 + \frac{1}{j\omega C_2}$$

The currents $I_s$, $I_{C2}$ and $I_L$ can be calculated by Cramer’s rule.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix}
Z_1 & -j\omega M & 0 \\
-j\omega M & Z_{C2} & -\frac{1}{j\omega C_2} \\
0 & -\frac{1}{j\omega C_2} & 1
\end{bmatrix}$$

$$I_s = \frac{U_s}{A} \begin{bmatrix}Z_{C2} \left(\frac{1}{j\omega C_2} + R_L\right) + \frac{1}{\omega^2 C_2^2} \\
0 \\
1
\end{bmatrix}$$

$$I_{C2} = \frac{U_s}{A} \left(-j\omega M \left(\frac{1}{j\omega C_2} + R_L\right)\right)$$

$$I_L = \frac{U_s}{A} \left(\frac{M}{C_2}\right)$$

$$\eta_{SP} = \frac{P_{out,sp}}{P_{in,sp}} \times 100 = \frac{I_s^2 R_L}{U_s I_s}$$  (14)

The resonance frequency formula will remain the same for both SS and SP topology models as provided in (5). We can calculate the required capacitance value at our desired frequency using (5) in both cases. This resonance frequency formula is independence of coupling, because we must calculate resonance frequency for primary and secondary side separately. There is an impact of coupling coefficient ($k$) on the resonance frequency. Due to variation in $k$ value, the resonating point of frequency may shift slightly, which is further discussed in section 3. The efficiency of SP compensation topology can be calculated using (14).

2.3. Design of circular structures of symmetrical and unsymmetrical coils

The spiral is a very popular structure in resonant wireless power transfer system due to its compact size and greatly confined electromagnetic field characteristics. An inclusive study of circular helix coils, planar spiral coils, and square helix coils was conducted in [25]. It was shown that the circular helix coils offer the best performance (e.g. the highest efficiency, the widest bandwidth, and the longest transfer distance) under optimal load whereas the planar spiral coils exhibit the worst performance among them. The optimal load can be defined as the load value, where the maximum efficiency is achievable. Another study regarding the shape of the coil was presented in [26]. It was found that circular geometries offer better coupling in perfect alignment while square types are better under misalignment between the coils.

Because of above mentioned advantages, circular coils are selected for this work. Two different cases are considered. In the first case, similar size coils are designed as illustrated in Figure 2 (a), while in the second case the transmitter coil has been kept bigger than the receiver coil as shown in Figure 2 (b). Second combination of coils can be suitable for biomedical applications. The dimensions of the big size coils and small size are given in Table 1. Big size coil in both cases has been kept similar. The self-inductance, mutual inductance and the coupling coefficients at three different distances are calculated using finite element method (FEM) simulations. The self-inductances of the coils, mutual inductances between the coils and coupling coefficient are analyzed at multiple distances and their results are provided in Table 2.
Table 1. Parameters of bigger and small size coils

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Big Size Coil</th>
<th>Parameter Value (mm)</th>
<th>Small Size Coil</th>
<th>Parameter Value (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Polygon Radius</td>
<td>2.5mm</td>
<td>Polygon Radius</td>
<td>2.5mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Start Helix Radius</td>
<td>100 mm</td>
<td>Start Helix Radius</td>
<td>20 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radius Change</td>
<td>7mm</td>
<td>Radius Change</td>
<td>7mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitch</td>
<td>0 mm</td>
<td>Pitch</td>
<td>0 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of Turns</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>No. of Turns</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter</td>
<td>433 mm</td>
<td>Diameter</td>
<td>176 mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Calculated values of distance vs coupling coefficient of symmetrical coils and unsymmetrical coils

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Distance (mm)</th>
<th>Self-Inductance of Both Symmetrical Coils (µH)</th>
<th>Mutual Inductance between symmetrical coils (µH)</th>
<th>Coupling Coefficient (k) between symmetrical coils</th>
<th>Self-Inductance of Big Coil (µH)</th>
<th>Self-Inductance of Small Coil (µH)</th>
<th>Mutual Inductance of unsymmetrical coils (µH)</th>
<th>Coupling Coefficient (k) between unsymmetrical coils</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>98.37</td>
<td>52.36</td>
<td>0.519</td>
<td>98.37</td>
<td>10.10</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>0.108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>98.37</td>
<td>28.95</td>
<td>0.286</td>
<td>98.37</td>
<td>10.10</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>0.062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>98.37</td>
<td>16.46</td>
<td>0.163</td>
<td>98.37</td>
<td>10.10</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>0.035</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. (a) Design of symmetrical coils and their magnetic field pattern (b) Design of unsymmetrical coils and their magnetic field pattern

2.4. Analysis of SS and SP Topology Models

A schematic diagram of SS topology using symmetrical coils is shown in Figure 3. Instead of inductances $L_1$ and $L_2$, coil structures were integrated in circuit. SS and SP topology circuits are simulated for both symmetrical and unsymmetrical coils. The efficiency in terms of $P_{out}/P_{in}$ is calculated at three distances 50 mm, 100 mm, and 150 mm. The circuit and coil parameters used for simulations are given in Table 3. The inductance of coils is calculated using FEM analysis and other parameter values are extracted according to the given value of frequency and inductance. The resonance frequency is kept at 300 kHz. Analysis is performed for measuring power input and power output to find out the efficiency of WPT system at three different distances.
3. RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

The simulation results for SS and SP topology models for three different distances using same size coils are given in Figure 5 (a). When we have simulated values of input power and output power, then the efficiencies can be calculated by (8) and (20) for SS and SP topology models. The efficiencies of SS compensation model at 50 mm, 100 mm, and 150 mm are 98%, 97% and 93%, respectively. For SP compensation model, the efficiencies at 50 mm, 100 mm and 150 mm are 72%, 38% and 53%, respectively. Therefore, for the same size coils, it is obvious that SS compensation topology provides much better efficiency than SP topology. The simulation results for both topologies using different size coils are given in Figure 4. At the distance of 50 mm, the efficiency is 73%. While at 100 mm, and 150 mm, the efficiencies are 36% and 13%, respectively. To analyze the efficiency of SP topology model using two different coils, the corresponding efficiencies at 50 mm, 100 mm and 150 mm are 92%, 89% and 71%, respectively. Therefore, from the detailed investigation, it is concluded that when different size coils are used, SP compensation topology is promising choice, because it gives better efficiency than SS counterpart. Table 6 provides the efficiency calculations for both symmetrical (same size) and unsymmetrical (different size) coil simulation results. Because only resistive load is used, therefore only real component of power is included.

From Table 4, it is obvious that when symmetrical coils i.e. same size coils for transmitter and receiver are utilized then SS topology gives much higher efficiency at all three distances than SP topology. While by using unsymmetrical coils i.e. when transmitter coil is bigger than receiver coil, the SP topology gives much better efficiency than SS topology at all three distances. Therefore, these results are useful for deciding the desired topology according to specific design of coils, i.e. symmetrical or unsymmetrical.
4. CONCLUSION

The modeling and investigation of two different circuit topologies for WPT system are carried out by circuit theory and simulations. The equations of power transfer efficiency in terms of circuit parameters and derivation of currents are presented. The effects of various coupling coefficients on the efficiency of the WPT system are also analyzed. It is confirmed that with increasing distance between transmitter and receiver coil, the coupling coefficient is decreasing rapidly. The frequency splitting phenomenon is not visible using both the topologies at all calculated distances. Therefore, it can be extracted that splitting frequency can be mitigated using SS and SP compensation topology with increasing coupling coefficient. The overall result shows that that SP topology model is suitable when Tx size bigger than Rx size. Because the SP topology gives much better efficiency than SS topology when two different size coils are utilized. SS topology is a good choice when two same size coils for transmitter and receiver are employed.
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