Design and analysis of controllers for high voltage gain DC-DC converter for PV panel
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ABSTRACT
Bidirectional high gain DC-DC buck boost converter is a virtual interface among PV source and inverter fed motor drive. In this article, a PV panel integrating a non-isolated bidirectional DC/DC converter that has high voltage gain voltage and a 3 phase three level DC/AC inverter is projected. It highlights the comparison between proportional integral controller (PIC), fractional order proportional integral derivative Controller (FOPIDC) and fuzzy logic controller (FLC) based Bidirectional DC/DC Power Converter System (BDDPCS). The design, model and simulation using SIMULINK of open loop BDDPCS and closed loop PIC, FOPIDC and FLC based BDDPCS are done and the results are discussed. The findings indicate higher performance for FLC based control of BDDPCS. The proposed BDDPCS has merits such as bidirectional power transferability, lesser hardware count with enhanced dynamic response. The hardware of BDDPCS is tested and the experiment result is compared in association with simulation results.
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1. INTRODUCTION
Solar panels are gathering more popularity among the growing environment because of pollution free nature and overcoming of difficulty in depletion of fuels [1]. Usually, PV cells are connected in series to provide high voltage (HV) essential for electric motor drives. However, the variation in temperature gradient and charging imbalance between the cells may lead to deprivation of solar cell life span [2, 3]. Bidirectional DC/DC buck boost isolated power converters such as half bridge and full bridge deliver a better gain in voltage during stepping up as well as stepping down by doing adjustments on transformers turns ratio but occurrence of leakage reactusce on the transformer coupling might lead to a hazardous HV sparks in switches at switching transients, huge CU loss and not much suitable for larger variation of voltages [4, 5]. Soft switch innovation on converters created a better way for decreasing the switching losses during transients [6, 7]. On a other hand, the number of operating switches increases which leads to the increase in conduction losses, complexity and cost [8]. Switched capacitor (SC) based DC/DC converter could promise for a reduction in size of power converter and possess better power density. Still high gain in voltage is necessary which lead to increase in the size of the SC [9, 10]. On the other end, switched inductor (SL) can handle large voltage gain no matter on which quadrant it is operated [11].

Hence, DC-DC power converter that has high voltage gain has yield many researchers attention. This article attempts to recognize a better bidirectional DC/DC converter topology for solar panel fed...
induction motor with high gain in voltage [12, 13]. First, the existing and the proposed DC-DC bidirectional converter would be discussed. It elaborates, which topology can offer high power output. Second, converter and controller designs are disclosed as an overview and third, the proposed circuit and its results obtained in MATLAB-Simulink platform are investigated. Finally, the experimental setup and the results obtained are discussed. In this paper chapter II describe the proposed system modelling and analysis. Chapter III delineate the simulation circuits and results of five level, eleven level H Bridge and T bridge inverter. Chapter IV explains about hardware implementation of the project and THD compared with T bridge inverter. Finally, it is concluded in Chapter IV.

2. PROPOSED SYSTEM MODELLING AND ANALYSIS

Figure 1 represents the block diagram of the conventional Z-source converter system. It has unique impedance in X shape which uses 4 passive elements. All the ways of power conversions between power sources and the main circuit can be performed effectively [14]. However, the major drawback is having low average power conversion rate and total harmonic distortion (THD) under lower boosting ratio range [15,16].

This can be effectively overcome by the projected system. The schematic representation of the existing system is depicted in Figure 2. The simulations of both the systems are performed. Z-source DC-DC converter system configure ration is compared with non-isolated DC-DC power converter with high gain in voltage system based on the voltage boost up, power handling capacity and THD. This paper deals with the step-up mode alone since the analysis is meant for EV application battery to drive operation [17]. The future analysis is planned for step down mode also.

Figure 2. Block diagram of the proposed system

Figure 3 depicts the schematic circuit diagram of the proposed converter [13]. Power switches are S1 and S2 whereas S3 and S4 act as active rectifiers [18]. At first, S1 and S2 power switches are turned on.
During this mode, inductor $L_1$ gets magnetized by the capacitor’s C stored charges and low voltage dc source $V_{\text{low}}$. Inductor $L_2$ gets energy from the low voltage dc source $V_{\text{low}}$.

![Figure 3. Schematic circuit of the proposed system](image)

The voltages along inductors $L_1$ and $L_2$ are indicated below.

$$V_{L1\text{ind}} = V_{\text{low}} + V_{\text{cap}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)  

$$V_{L2\text{ind}} = V_{\text{low}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)  

$$\begin{bmatrix} V_{L1\text{ind}} \\ V_{L2\text{ind}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} V_{\text{cap}} \\ V_{\text{high}} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} [V_{\text{low}}]$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)  

In the next mode, $S_3$ and $S_4$ switches must be turned on whereas the other switches $S_1$ and $S_2$ are made off. The stored energy of $L_1$ inductor and low voltage input $V_{\text{low}}$ is used to offer high voltage output. Also, the stored energy of $L_2$ inductor and low voltage input $V_{\text{low}}$ is used to charge $C$ capacitor \cite{19}. The inductor $L_1$ and $L_2$ voltages obtained this mode are given below.

$$V_{L1\text{ind}} = V_{\text{low}} - V_{\text{high}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)  

$$V_{L2\text{ind}} = V_{\text{low}} - V_{\text{cap}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)  

$$\begin{bmatrix} V_{L1\text{ind}} \\ V_{L2\text{ind}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} V_{\text{cap}} \\ V_{\text{high}} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} [V_{\text{low}}]$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)  

Here, $[A_1] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $[B_1] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$

$[A_2] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $[B_2] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$

$$[A] = \alpha A_1 + (1-\alpha)A_2$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)  

$$[B] = \alpha B_1 + (1-\alpha)B_2$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)  

$$[B] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)  

$$[V_{\text{out}}] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} V_{\text{cap}} \\ V_{\text{high}} \end{bmatrix} + [0][V_{\text{low}}]$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)  

Here $V_{\text{low}}$ and $V_{\text{high}}$ be the input and output voltage after stepping up, $V_{L1\text{ind}}$ and $V_{L2\text{ind}}$ are the voltage measured along the inductors. $V_{\text{cap}}$ is the voltage across the capacitor, $\alpha$ be duty ratio and then $R_M$ be the load.
resistance. By using volt-sec principle of balancing for (3) and (6), voltage gain during stepping up mode is as presented below,

\[ \frac{V_{\text{high}}}{V_{\text{low}}} = \left( \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \right)^2 \]  \hspace{1cm} (12)

The design equations for finding the values of the inductors are given as [20].

\[ L_{1\text{ind}} = \frac{n(2-\alpha)(1-\alpha)^2R_M}{2f_{sw}} \] \hspace{1cm} (13)

\[ L_{2\text{ind}} = \frac{(1-\alpha)^4R_M}{2f_{sw}} \] \hspace{1cm} (14)

3. SIMULATION RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Simulation results of existing and proposed system are presented in Table I. The comparison indicates that the proposed system produces higher output power with reduced THD [21]. Open loop BDDPCS model with varying load is as depicted in Figure 4. The simulation parameters are given in Appendix A.

![Figure 4. Open loop BDDPCS system with varying load](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Converter System</th>
<th>( V_{\text{in}} )</th>
<th>( V_{\text{o}} )</th>
<th>Output current THD</th>
<th>Output Power</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Existing</td>
<td>100V</td>
<td>360V</td>
<td>15.07%</td>
<td>2700W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed</td>
<td>100V</td>
<td>400V</td>
<td>9.95%</td>
<td>3700W</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A design of PI, FOPID and fuzzy controller were performed for the boost operation of the proposed converter to improve the system’s transient characteristics. Linear control technologies use a small signal design transfer function whereas FLC uses human being’s intuitive decisions. Closed loop system with PIC. \( s(n) \) (actual speed) of BDDCIS drive is identified and then compared with \( s_{\text{ref}} \) (set speed) to obtain \( e(n) \) (speed error) [22, 23]. This is given to the PIC and the corresponding output form the controller is forwarded to comparator.

The updated gate pulse from the comparator is used to trigger the control switches in converter. This in turn helps in regulating the voltage and speed of the drive. The motor speed reduces from 1350 RPM to 1294.7 RPM and the Torque settles at 4 N-m. In the conventional PID controller, the derivative as well as integrator order is 1 where as in dynamical system called FOPID, there exists a degree of freedom in the derivative and integrator order as shown in equation (15). Also, the efficiency is far better than conventional mode. It was first proposed by Podlubny in the year 1999 [24].
\[ u(s) = \left[ K_p + \frac{K_i}{s^2} + K_d s^m \right] e(s) \]  

(15)

Where \( u(s) \) = Control signal  
\( e(s) \) = Error signal

The closed loop model of FOPIDC is analyzed next. The PI Controller is replaced by FOPID controller. The motor speed is 1300 RPM and the torque developed is 4 N-m. Rise time is reduced from 0.6 to 0.45 sec. Settling time is decreased from 2.3 to 1.4 sec. The peak time changes from 0.51 to 0.53 second and error is minimized from 5.3 to 0.8 V. No mathematical modelling is necessary for FLC. It can utilize the general known knowledge of the plant. Fuzzification (crisp to fuzzy), linguistic descriptive rules, inference mechanism (for choosing the correct rule for a current plant situation) and defuzzification (fuzzy to crisp) are the major four components in FLC [25]. There are two specific inputs fed to the fuzzy controller. Error in motor RPM (\( e(n) \)) is the first input given in (16) and successive error difference (\( ce(n) \)) is the second input given in (17). Fuzzy controller output is change in duty cycle.

\[ e(n) = s_{ref} - s(n) \]  

(16)

\[ ce(n) = e(n) - e(n - 1) \]  

(17)

\( s_{ref} \) – Reference speed  
\( s(n) \) – motor speed at nth sample.  
\( e(n-1) \) – error at (n-1) sample

Closed loop model with FLC is as depicted in Figure 5. The Input variable 1 & 2 are illustrated in Figure 6 and 7 respectively. Figure 8 denotes the output variable. The Fuzzy Rules are summarized Table 2. The motor speed value is 1300 RPM. The Torque response is 4 N-m. The time domain variables are compared for different controller implementation is shown in Table 3. The settling time is decreased from 2.3 to 0.6 seconds. Error is minimized from 5.3 to 0.08 RPM.

The Figure 9 shows the normalized torque, speed and power obtained for different controllers’ implementation. The blue curve indicates open loop system. Orange and green curves represent PI and FOPID controlled system respectively. Finally, the red curves represent the FLC based system designed. The inverter output current is shown in Figure 10 for different controller implementation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rule Table</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>e/ce</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Rule Table
Figure 5. Closed loop BDDIC system with FL

Table 3. Comparison of time domain parameters with PI, FOPID & FL controller

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Controller</th>
<th>$T_r$</th>
<th>$T_s$</th>
<th>$T_p$</th>
<th>$E_{ss}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PI</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOPID</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLC</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure 7. Input variable 2

Figure 8. Output variable

Figure 9. Motor characteristics torque, speed and power
4. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

The functionality of the proposed converter is verified experimentally with the design parameters as given in Table 4. The voltage profile used in the simulation is scaled down by 4 for the experimental verification. The hardware setup includes the proposed bidirectional converter, three phase three level inverter and three phases, 0.5HP, 400V induction motor.

LV side of bidirectional converter is supplied by 24V DC supply which is boosted to 80V DC on the HV side. A three-phase induction motor is connected as load on the HV side via inverter. Figure 11 depicts the prototype setup of the proposed BDDCIS. The PIC micro controller PIC16F84A is used to offer the firing pulses to the power converter used in the setup. The input supply voltage considered to test the hardware is 24V as shown in Figure 12.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Simulation components specifications</th>
<th>Hardware components specifications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$V_{in}$</td>
<td>100V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_1$, $L_2$</td>
<td>10mH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_3$</td>
<td>200mH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_1$</td>
<td>64\mu F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_2$, $C_3$</td>
<td>1200\mu F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOSFET (IRF840)</td>
<td>500V/8A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diode</td>
<td>230V/1A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_0$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 13 depicts gating pulses for the switch (MOSFET (IRF840) the BDDCI system. Input voltage of 24V is boosted to 80V output. The experimental output voltage is shown in + 14. DC/DC converter is connected to three phase inverters. The switching gate pulses for the power switches in inverter and the output voltage obtained in inverter are as shown in Figure 16 and 17 respectively.
Figure 11. Test Bench for BDIS

Figure 12. Input voltage

Figure 13. Switching pulse for S1 & S2 of high gain converter

Figure 14. Output voltage of high gain converter
5. CONCLUSION

The design, the model and the analysis of the BDDPCS has been carried out. The output waveforms of BDDPCS are compared to the bidirectional DC/DC power converter system. The characteristics of BDDPCS are obtained to be better than the conventional converter. The BDDPCS is examined using a step input for load torque. BDDPCS under the closed loop condition with PIC and FLC are also explored. Settling time for FLC is decreased to 0.56 sec and error is minimized to 0.08 RPM. Hence, FLC based BDDPCS is better than PIC based system. The experiment result validates the software results.
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