Modeling and design of an adaptive control for VSC-HVDC system under parameters uncertainties
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ABSTRACT

The growing demand for electricity and the increasing integration of clean energies into the electrical grids requires the multiplication and reinforcement of high-voltage direct current (HVDC) projects throughout the world and demonstrates the interest in this electricity transmission technology. The transmitting system of the voltage source converter-high-voltage direct current (VSC-HVDC) consists primarily of two converter stations that are connected by a dc cable. In this paper, a nonlinear control based on the backstepping approach is proposed to improve the dynamic performance of a VSC-HVDC transmission system, these transport systems are characterized by different complexities such as parametric uncertainties, coupled state variables, neglected dynamics, presents a very interesting research topic. Our contribution through adaptive control based on the backstepping approach allows regulating the direct current (DC) bus voltage and the active and reactive powers of the converter stations. Finally, the validity of the proposed control has been verified under various operating conditions by simulation in the MATLAB/Simulink environment.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Electrical energy is nowadays produced, transmitted, and distributed in alternating current via high-voltage alternating current (AC) transmission networks [1], [2]. This technology is supported by specialists in the field of power electronics because of the simplicity of production and the possibility of changing the level of voltages with the help of transformers. However, the transmission of electrical energy in alternating current faces serious problems that are increasingly difficult to solve [3], especially when the need for electricity is large enough and over long distances, as well as the problem of compensation of reactive power that must be made as close as possible to its consumption in order to limit losses and voltage drops, and the problem of asynchronous links or interconnections [4].

The control of HVDC systems is a vast field open to any research contribution. Many researches have been conducted in order to implement advanced and robust techniques to stabilize and guarantee better performances [5], either by classical proportional-integral (PI) controls [6] or by non-linear controls [7]-[9]. Recent work has focused on topics related to HVDC systems for example the integration of renewable energies in HVDC networks [10], the study and synchronization of converter stations [11], the technology of cables used for HVDC [12], [13], the ripple of capacitor voltages [14].
Adaptive control, although old, has been of great interest and has contributed to solving many stabilization and robustness problems for HVDC transport systems [15], work has also dealt with adaptive control based on a reference model reference adaptive control (MRAC) [16]-[18] which has demonstrated the effectiveness of this type of control especially when one wishes to improve the dynamic response and take into account the parametric variations of the system. In this work, we realized an adaptive control based on the backstepping approach of this VSC-HVDC system which allows regulating the direct current (DC) voltage also the active and reactive powers under faults conditions [19]-[21]. The first part will be dedicated to the mathematical modeling of the VSC-HVDC transmission system then the control laws elaborated for the two stations and finally a simulation to test the validity of the control. Figure 1 shows our VSC-HVDC system composed of 2 VSC converters connected to the AC network through an impedance line. On the DC side, the two converter stations are interconnected by DC transmission cables.

Figure 1. VSC-HVDC system

2. MODELING OF VSC-HVDC SYSTEM

Converters are the main actors in an HVDC transmission since they ensure the transformation of the AC power into DC power, as well as the reverse operation. The basic structure of the VSC converter is depicted in Figure 2.

Figure 2. Structure of VSC converter

Applying Kirchhoff’s voltage and current laws we find:

\[ L \frac{di_j}{dt} + R i_j = u_{gj} - u_{mj} \]  

(1)

The system (1) can be written as:

\[ u_{mabc} = -R_i_{abc} \cdot L \frac{di_{abc}}{dt} + u_{gabc} \]  

(2)

In (2) is then written in the Park transform:

\[ u_{mdq0} = -R_i_{dq0} \cdot L_P(\theta) \frac{di_{abc}}{dt} + u_{gdq0} \]  

(3)

The transformation losses being neglected on the converter side, the active power transmitted on the AC side will be the same on the DC side, so we find:

\[ P_{gdq} = P_{DC} \]  

(4)
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The active and reactive powers delivered by the source are defined respectively by (5), (6):

\[
P_{gdq} = \frac{3}{2} (u_{gdq}i_d + u_{gq}i_q)
\]

\[
Q_{gdq} = \frac{3}{2} (u_{gq}i_d - u_{gdq}i_q)
\]

If we apply the Kirchhoff current law, it comes:

\[
i_m = i_c + i_L
\]

\[
\frac{3}{2} u_{gq}i_q = V_{dc}(i_c + i_L)
\]

Where:

\[
i_c = \frac{3}{2} \frac{u_{qh}}{V_{dc}} - i_L
\]

Knowing that

\[
i_c = C \frac{dv_{dc}}{dt}
\]

Thus, the (11) represent a first model expressed in the state space as:

\[
\begin{cases}
\frac{dv_{dc}}{dt} = \frac{3}{2} \frac{u_{qh}}{V_{dc}} & i_c \\
\frac{di_d}{dt} = \omega i_q - \frac{R}{L} i_d + u_q \\
\frac{di_q}{dt} = \omega i_d - \frac{R}{L} i_q + u_d
\end{cases}
\]

\[
[x_1, x_2, x_3]^T = [V_{dc} i_q i_d]^T
\]

Where

\[
u_q = \frac{(u_{gdq} - u_{mad})}{L}, u_d = \frac{(u_{gq} - u_{md})}{L}
\]

- \(i_d\) and \(i_q\) are the currents in Park transform.
- \(u_{gdq}\) and \(u_{gq}\) and \(u_{mad}\), \(u_{md}\) are the dq components of the AC voltage, and VSC converter output voltage respectively.

We set q-axis to be in phase of the source voltage \(u_g\) (\(u_{gdq}=0\)).

3. **NONLINEAR CONTROL OF VSC-HVDC**

3.1. **Introduction**

The control of HVDC systems [22]-[24] requires the development of advanced and robust controls [25] capable of maintaining and guaranteeing high performance in the face of any disturbance or different operating conditions, bearing in mind that an HVDC transport system is considered to be highly non-linear and recognized by its multiple complexities. Adaptive control is a control for non-linear systems [26], [27] using a set of concepts and techniques for the automatic, real-time adjustment of controllers to achieve or maintain a certain level of desired performance when system parameters are unknown or varying, therefore in this paper changes in system parameters will be taken into account and will be formulated in the control laws. The adaptive control will be established is being as station 1 is chosen as a rectifier to regulate the DC bus voltage and reactive power \(Q_1\), station 2 as an inverter to regulate the active power \(P_2\) and reactive power \(Q_2\).

3.2. **Control design for the rectifier station**

The mathematical model adopted from (11) is defined:
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\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_1 &= ax_1 + \frac{3u_{q1}}{2C} + D \\
\dot{x}_2 &= -bx_2 - bx_3 + u_{q1} + \theta_{q1} \\
\dot{x}_3 &= \omega_1 x_2 + bx_3 + u_{d1} + \theta_{d1}
\end{align*}
\] (12)

Where:
\[
a = \frac{3u_{q1}}{2C} \quad b = \frac{R}{L} \quad D = -\frac{i_L}{C}
\]

\(\theta_{q1}\) and \(\theta_{d1}\) allow to reflect the impedance variation of the AC line, these values must be constant and bounded.

The rectifier station is considered of third order and can be controlled by two control inputs. First, the tracking error is defined by:
\[
Z_1 = x_{1\text{ref}} - x_1
\] (13)

And
\[
Z_2 = \gamma - x_2
\] (14)

\(\gamma\) is a virtual control law to stabilize \(Z_1\) The time derivative of \(Z_1\) and \(Z_2\) are given:
\[
\dot{Z}_1 = x_{1\text{ref}}' - ax_2 x_1 - D
\] (15)

We use the following Lyapunov candidate function:
\[
V_0 = \frac{1}{2}CZ_1^2
\] (16)

The term \(\frac{1}{2}CZ_1^2\) represents a capacitor energy fluctuation. The time derivative of \(V_0\) is given:
\[
\dot{V}_0 = CZ_1(\dot{x}_{1\text{ref}}-\frac{\gamma}{x_1} - D) + a.C \frac{\dot{Z}_1Z_2}{x_1}
\] (17)

Then if \(Z_2 = 0\), we obtain:
\[
\dot{V}_0 = -K_1.CZ_1^2
\] (18)

With:
\[
\gamma = \frac{\dot{x}_1}{a}(x_{1\text{ref}}' - D + K_1 Z_1)
\] (19)

The error \(Z_3\) is defined as:
\[
Z_3 = x_{3\text{ref}} - x_3
\] (20)

We derive the two terms \(Z_2, Z_3\):
\[
\dot{Z}_2 = \dot{\gamma} + bx_2 + bx_3 + u_{q1} + \theta_{q1}
\] (21)
\[
\dot{Z}_3 = \dot{x}_{3\text{ref}} - bx_2 + bx_3 + u_{d1} + \theta_{d1}
\] (22)

Where:
\[
\dot{\gamma} = \frac{\dot{x}_1}{a}(x_{1\text{ref}}' - D + K_1 Z_1) + \frac{\dot{x}_2}{a}(x_{1\text{ref}}' - D + K_1 Z_1)
\] (23)

The lyapunov function described below is chosen to ensure the asymptotic stability of the system:
\[
V_1 = V_0 + \frac{1}{2}L(Z_2^2 + Z_3^2) + \frac{1}{2m_1}((\theta_{q1}' - \theta_{q1})^2 + \frac{1}{2m_2}((\theta_{d1}' - \theta_{d1})^2
\] (24)
Where:
- \( \theta_{q1} \) and \( \theta_{d1} \) are the estimates of \( \theta_{q1} \) and \( \theta_{d1} \).
- \( m_1 \) and \( m_2 \) are the adaptation gains.
- \( \frac{1}{2}L(Z_2^2 + Z_3^2) \) represents a capacitor energy fluctuation of the AC reactor.

Therefore, we get the time derivative of \( V_1 \):

\[
\dot{V}_1 = -K_1CZ_1^2 + LZ_2 \left( aCZ_1 + b \cdot x_2 + \omega x_3 \cdot u_{q1} \cdot \theta_{q1} \right) + \\
LZ_3 \left( x_{3_{ref}} \cdot \omega x_2 + b \cdot x_3 \cdot u_{d1} \cdot \theta_{d1} \right) + \left( \theta_{q1} - \theta_{q1} \right) \left( \frac{2m_1}{Z_2} + \frac{m_2}{Z_3} \right)
\]

(25)

In (26) and (27) allow canceling and eliminate the terms \( \theta_{q1} - \theta_{q1} \) and \( \theta_{d1} - \theta_{d1} \):

\[
\dot{\theta}_{q1} = -m_1LZ_2
\]

(26)

\[
\dot{\theta}_{d1} = -m_2LZ_3
\]

(27)

So, we can deduce the two control inputs for the converter (station 1):

\[
u_{q1} = aCZ_1 + b \cdot x_2 + \omega x_3 \cdot \theta_{q1} + K_2Z_2
\]

(28)

\[
u_{d1} = x_{3_{ref}} \cdot \omega x_2 + b \cdot x_3 \cdot \theta_{d1} + K_3Z_3
\]

(29)

Then we obtain:

\[
\dot{V}_1 = -K_1CZ_1^2 - K_2LZ_2^2 - K_3LZ_3^2 \leq 0
\]

(30)

Where \( K_1 > 0 \), \( K_2 > 0 \) and \( K_3 > 0 \).

Figure 3 shows control bloc diagram for rectifier station.
3.3. Control design for the inverter station

This control aims to regulate the active and reactive powers. The state-space of the station operating on power control mode is written is being as:

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_3 &= -b x_4 + \omega x_5 + u_{q2} + \dot{\theta}_{q2} \\
\dot{x}_4 &= \omega x_4 + b x_5 + u_{d2} + \dot{\theta}_{d2}
\end{align*}
\]

(31)

Where:
- \(b\) and \(\omega\) represent a capacitor energy fluctuation of the AC reactor.
- \(x_3\) and \(x_4\) are the adaptation gains.
- \(u_{q2}\) and \(u_{d2}\) are the control inputs on the inverter side (station 2).

Thus, \(\dot{\vartheta}_{q2}\) and \(\dot{\vartheta}_{d2}\) allow to reflect the impedance variation of the AC line, these values must be constant and bounded. The inverter station is considered second-order and can be controlled by two control inputs. First, the tracking error is defined by:

\[
\begin{align*}
Z_4 &= x_{4\text{ref}} - x_4 \\
Z_5 &= x_{5\text{ref}} - x_5
\end{align*}
\]

(32)

(33)

Where:
- \(\vartheta_{q2}\) and \(\vartheta_{d2}\) are the estimates of \(\theta_{q2}\) and \(\theta_{d2}\);
- \(m_4\) and \(m_5\) are the adaptation gains.
- \(\frac{1}{2}L(Z_4^2 + Z_5^2)\) represent a capacitor energy fluctuation of the AC reactor.

To investigate the stability of the errors, a Lyapunov function \(V_2\) is chosen as:

\[
V_2 = \frac{1}{2}L(Z_4^2 + Z_5^2) + \frac{1}{2m_4}(\vartheta_{q2} - \vartheta_{q2})^2 + \frac{1}{2m_5}(\vartheta_{d2} - \vartheta_{d2})^2
\]

(36)

Where:
- \(\vartheta_{q2}\) and \(\vartheta_{d2}\) are the estimates of \(\theta_{q2}\) and \(\theta_{d2}\).

The derivative of \(V_2\) along the trajectories of the errors is given by:

\[
\dot{V}_2 = L Z_4 (x_{4\text{ref}} - x_4 + \omega x_5 + b x_4 + u_{q2} - \vartheta_{q2}) + L Z_5 (x_{5\text{ref}} - x_5 - \vartheta_{d2} - b x_5 + u_{d2} - \vartheta_{d2}) + (\vartheta_{q2} - \vartheta_{q2}) (\frac{m_4}{m_4} + L Z_4) + (\vartheta_{d2} - \vartheta_{d2}) (\frac{m_5}{m_5} + L Z_5)
\]

(37)

In (38) and (39) allow canceling and eliminate the terms \((\vartheta_{q2} - \vartheta_{q2})\) and \((\vartheta_{d2} - \vartheta_{d2})\):

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{\vartheta}_{q2} &= -m_4 L Z_4 \\
\dot{\vartheta}_{d2} &= -m_5 L Z_5
\end{align*}
\]

(38)

(39)

So finally, we find the two control inputs on the inverter side (station 2)

\[
\begin{align*}
u_{q2} &= x_{4\text{ref}} - x_4 + \omega x_5 + b x_4 + \vartheta_{q2} + K_4 Z_4 \\
u_{d2} &= x_{5\text{ref}} - x_5 - \vartheta_{d2} + b x_5 + K_5 Z_5
\end{align*}
\]

(40)

(41)

Where \(K_4 > 0\) and \(K_5 > 0\)

Thus, we arrive at the stabilization equation

\[
\dot{V}_2 = -K_4 L Z_4^2 - K_5 L Z_5^2 \leq 0
\]

(42)

The Barbalat and LaSalle-Yoshizawa theorems [27] confirm the convergence of tracking errors to zero \(\sum_{i=1}^{5} Z_i \to 0\). Figure 4 shows control bloc diagram for rectifier station.
4. SIMULATION ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

In order to test the efficiency of the proposed control and the system behavior under different operating conditions, a simulation is carried out under the MATLAB/Simulink environment. Figure 5 shows the studied system. Table 1 presents the simulation parameters of the studied system:

![Control block diagram for inverter station](image)

**Figure 4.** Control block diagram for inverter station

![VSC-HVDC transmission system](image)

**Figure 5.** VSC-HVDC transmission system

![Simulation parameters](image)

**Table 1. Simulation parameters**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters of the study system</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Each AC System nominal voltage</td>
<td>320 KV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Each DC nominal voltage</td>
<td>250 KV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base power</td>
<td>1000 MVA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resistor R1 and R2</td>
<td>900 mΩ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inductance L1 and L2</td>
<td>16.5 mH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC Link capacitor C</td>
<td>80 μF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominal frequency</td>
<td>50 Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of transmission line</td>
<td>100 Km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC cable resistance</td>
<td>13.9 mΩ/km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC cable inductance</td>
<td>0.159 mH/km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC cable capacitance</td>
<td>0.231 μF/km</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In order to carry out a simulation study and test the validity of the proposed control, we have proposed the following action points, Case 1: \( t < 2\) s normal operating condition. \( t = 2\) s a line break occurs at phase 2 and causes an imbalance on the AC network of station 1, Figure 6 shows this behavior. Figure 7 shows the response of the DC bus for both stations, it can be seen that the system follows the setpoint despite the phase break produced at \( t=2\) s and converges quickly to the reference value.

![Single-phase fault at station 1](image)

**Figure 6.** Single-phase fault at station 1

![DC-link voltage](image)

**Figure 7.** DC-link voltage
Case 2: A common problem in electrical power systems is voltage dips, which are defined as a sudden drop of 10% or more of the nominal voltage, affecting one or more phases, lasting between eight milliseconds, and one minute. Generally, voltage dips are considered as disturbances, and therefore, to test the robustness of our system, we have caused this phenomenon during the period between 1.5 s and 2 s as shown in Figure 8. Figure 9 and Figure 10 show the behavior of the DC bus for the two stations in the presence of the voltage dip phenomenon, it is clear that there is a stabilization of the voltages and a convergence towards the reference value $V_{dc_{ref}} = 250$ KV.

![Figure 8. Grid voltage in station 1](image1)

![Figure 9. DC voltage station 1](image2)

![Figure 10. DC voltage station 2](image3)

Figure 11 and Figure 12 show the evolution of the active and reactive powers of each station knowing that the imposed reference inputs are $Q_{1_{ref}} = 0.3$ pu and $P_{2} = -0.5$ pu. It is clear from the simulation results presented that the proposed control strategy can stabilize the system by attenuating fluctuations in the DC bus voltages, dampen the oscillations of the controlled variables, and ensure the regulation of active and reactive power. The faults injected in the HVDC system, which are respectively the phase break and the voltage dip, allowed to test the robustness of the adaptive control.

![Figure 11. Active power station 2](image4)

![Figure 12. Reactive power Station 1](image5)

The proposed control offers a smoother control compared with the results of the sliding mode control [28], [29], especially the oscillation or chattering problems inherent to this type of discontinuous
control that appears quickly. Note that chattering can excite neglected high-frequency dynamics sometimes leading to instability. The integration of the parameters \( q \) and \( d \) allows to quickly catch up with the setpoint during line break failures compared to a normal backstepping control [30-32].

After multiple tests of different values for adaptation gains, it was found that taking very small or much higher values than those taken in Table 2 instantly causes divergence and instability in the system therefore the values of design adaptation gains of the elaborated adaptive control have a major impact on the final control, so the choice of these parameters is very important, despite the difficulty of obtaining optimal values. This paper does not provide the means to select these parameters properly. Table 2 shows the values chosen for each station.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Station 1</th>
<th>Station 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Backstepping controller &amp; adaptation gains</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( K_p )</td>
<td>10^3</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( K_i )</td>
<td>11600</td>
<td>254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( m_1 )</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( m_2 )</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. CONCLUSION

This paper deals with the subject of the control of a VSC-HVDC system, we proposed the control of two converter stations connected to each other via a DC network. We proposed an adaptive control based on the backstepping method which allows taking into account the variations of the system parameters and improves its dynamic behavior. Through the simulation results obtained, we note that the control strategy adopted leads to a significant improvement of the system performance compared to a classical PI control and also to a better control of the active and reactive powers of the stations despite the presence of disturbances, even of some defects that may appear due to the complexity of the power transmission system and the unmeasurable random disturbances that may arise at any time, and not forgetting the difficulty of finding optimal values for adaptation gains. As a perspective our attention will be directed to the study of MTDC multi-terminal HVDC transport systems with integration of renewable energies and also, to study the MMC modular multi-level converters which are widely used in electric energy transport applications.
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