Grid Interconnection of PV System Using Symmetric and Asymmetric MLI Topology

V S Prasadarao K¹, V Joshi Manohar²

¹Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering, Shri Vishnu Engineering College for Women (SVECW), Bhimavaram, A.P, India ²Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering, MINA Institute of Engineering and Technology for Women, Miryalaguda, T.G, India

Article Info

Article history:

ABSTRACT

Received Aug 15, 2017 Revised Aug 29, 2018 Accepted Sep 9, 2018

Keyword:

Asymmetric multilevel inverter PV system Renewable energy sources Symmetric multilevel inverter

Generally, PV cell converts sunlight into electricity in the form of dc. Integration of PV system with the existing grid requires dc-ac conversion. This conversion is possible with the help of a dc-ac converter known as an inverter. Among all types of the inverter, multilevel inverters (MLIs) are playing a major role with all their major privileges like High power quality, low distortion, less blocking voltages for switching devices. Conventional multilevel inverter topologies such as diode clamped, flying capacitor and cascaded MLIs are having so many disadvantages. One of the common disadvantage among all the conventional MLIs is the requirement of more number of power electronic components as the level of the output voltage increase. To reduce the power electronic components this paper proposes a multilevel inverter topology in symmetrical and asymmetrical configuration. The proposed MLI uses 12 switches and 19 diodes which are very less compared to conventional MLI topologies for generates nine and thirteen level output voltages. Comparison between presented MLI topology and conventional MLI topologies is presented in this paper. Finally, the proposed MLI whose input is fed from the PV system is integrated into the grid. The proposed concept is validated by using the MATLAB/SIMULINK software and the appropriate results are presented in this paper.

> Copyright © 2018 Institute of Advanced Engineering and Science. All rights reserved.

Corresponding Author:

V S prasadarao K., Departement of Electrical and Electrical Engineering, Shri Vishnu Engineering College for Women (SVECW), Bhimavaram, A.P – India. Email: kvsprasad86@gmail.com

1. INTRODUCTION

In the present scenario, the use of electric power is increasing due to the advancement of technology. Unfortunately, conventional energy sources (like fossil fuels) are depleting day by day. To meet the required demand, people are looking for renewable energy sources (RES) such as photovoltaic (PV), wind and fuel cell, etc. Among all the RESs, PV gains more attention due to the advantages of clean and no pollution, etc [1]. Normally the voltage obtained from the PV system is of dc. To interface a PV system with the grid, a suitable inverter is needed. Conventional full bridge inverter generates an alternating output voltage with higher harmonic content and also it imposes high dv/dt stree on the switches. Over the last few decades, the use of multilevel inverters is increases tremendously [2]-[3]. Multilevel inverters are divided into three types [4]-[9] based on their configurations which are given below; Diode clamped MLI Flying capacitor MLI

Cascaded H-bridge MLI

Journal homepage: http://iaescore.com/journals/index.php/IJPEDS

Diode clamped MLI topology uses more number of clamping diodes [10] and switches as the level of the output increases. Flying capacitor MLI has the disadvantage of capacitor voltage balancing problem [11]-[13]. Cascaded H-bridge MLI topology has the advantages of high modularity but it requires separate dc sources. To overcome the above disadvantages this paper proposes a MLI topology with reduced number of components for induction motor in agricultural field which is shown in below. The proposed MLI topology is implemented in both symmetrical and asymmetrical configurations. Finally, the proposed MLI topology is used to interface PV system with grid. By using the proposed MLI, the PV generated dc voltage is changed into ac voltage and it is tied up with the existing grid with fullfilments of grid proporties.

2. PROPOSED TOPOLOGY

2.1. Symmetrical Configuration

In symmetrical configuration, magnitude of each dc voltage sources is equal. Cascaded connection of proposed MLI increases the output voltage levels. The total output voltage is the summation of individual proposed MLIs output voltage. Proposed multilevel inverter consists of four dc voltage sources which is shown in the Figure 1. Our proposed topology can generate 9 levels in output voltage. By proper turning on and off switches required output voltage can be obtained. Therefore, in proposed topology required number of cascade blocks based on number of levels can be finding out by using

M=4n+1

(1)

where

M= number of output voltage levels n= number of cascade blocks

From the above expression, for generating 9 levels in output voltage two symmetric cascaded blocks are needed which are shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1. Proposed MLI topology in Symmetrical configuration

The output voltage waveform consists of nine levels ± 4 Vdc, ± 3 Vdc, ± 2 Vdc, ± 2 Vdc, ± 2 Vdc and 0. The switching pattern for the proposed inverter in symmetrical configuration is shown in below Table 1.

\mathbf{S}_{a}	\mathbf{S}_{b}	S_c	\mathbf{S}_{d}	$\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{e}}$	S_{f}	$\mathbf{S}_{a}^{\ 1}$	${\bf S}_b{}^1$	$\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{c}}^{1}$	${\boldsymbol{S}_d}^1$	\mathbf{S}_{e}^{1}	${\bf S_f}^1$	Voltage Level
0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	$+4V_{DC}$
0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	$+3V_{DC}$
0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	$+2V_{DC}$
0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	$+V_{DC}$
0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	$-4V_{DC}$
1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	$-3V_{DC}$
1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	$-2V_{DC}$
0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	-V _{DC}

Table 1. Switching states and Output voltages for Symmetrical Configuration

2.2. Asymmetrical Configuration

In this configuration, dc voltage sources are differing in their magnitudes. Therefore, the difference in the dc voltage sources enhances the output voltage levels. Due to increase of the voltage the output voltage levels are increase from 9 to 13. In these mode six positive voltages, six negative voltages and zero can be produced. The different output voltage levels are \pm 6Vdc, \pm 5Vdc, \pm 4Vdc, \pm 3Vdc, \pm 2Vdc, \pm Vdc and 0 and the corresponding switching states are shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Switching pattern and Output voltages for Asymmetrical Configuration

\mathbf{S}_{a}	\mathbf{S}_{b}	$\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{c}}$	\mathbf{S}_{d}	\mathbf{S}_{e}	$S_{\rm f}$	S_{a-1}	$\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{b}}^{1}$	\mathbf{S}_{c}^{1}	\mathbf{S}_{d}^{-1}	\mathbf{S}_{e}^{1}	$\mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{f}}{}^{1}$	Voltage Level
0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	+6Vdc
0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	+5Vdc
0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	+4Vdc
0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	+3Vdc
0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	+2Vdc
0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	+Vdc
0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	-Vdc
0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	-2Vdc
1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	-3Vdc
0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	-4Vdc
0	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	-5Vdc
1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	-6Vdc

3. COMPARISON OF PROPOSED MLI TOPOLOGY WITH CONVENTIONAL MLI TOPOLOGIES

The following Table 3 and Table 4 illustrate the comparison between existing topologies and proposed topology in symmetrical and asymmetrical configuration.

Table 3. Comparison of other topologies with proposed topology for symmetrical configuration

Toma of MLI	No of Main	No of	No of DC Bus
Type of MLI	Switches	Diodes	Capacitors
Diode Clamed MLI	16	16	8
Flying Capacitor MLI	16	16	8
Cascaded H-bridge MLI	16	16	4
Proposed MLI	12	16	0

T 11 4 C	· · · · ·		· . 1	1, 1	c	1 (* .*
Table 4 Com	narison of off	ier tonologies	with propos	sed tonology	for asymmetrica	L configuration
rable +. Com	Julison of ou	ici topologici	, with propo	scu topology	101 asymmetrica	1 configuration

<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
Tune of MLI	No of Main	No of	No of DC Bus
Type of MLI	Switches	Diodes	Capacitors
Diode Clamed MLI	24	24	12
Flying Capacitor MLI	24	24	12
Cascaded H-bridge MLI	24	24	12
Proposed MLI	12	16	0

From Table 3 and 4, the Proposed Inverter uses less number of power electronic components compared to conventional MLI topologies and hence switching losses as well as cost for implementing it is reduced.

4. SIMULINK MODELLING AND RESULTS

The Figure 3 depicts the MATLAB/ SIMULINK diagram of the proposed 5 level MLI topology. It uses six switches and eight diodes to get five level output waveform. The output voltage obtained from the symmetrical configuration contains five level (Figure 4). Compared to cascade H-bridge MLI it uses less switches and hence the switching losses are reduced. From the Figure 5 and 6, as the level of the inverter increases THD content reduces.



Figure 3. MATLAB/ SIMULINK diagram of the proposed 5 level MLI topology



Figure 4. Output voltage of proposed 5 level MLI topology





Grid Interconnection of PV System Using Symmetric and Asymmetric MLI Topology (V S Prasadarao K)

The Figure 6 depicts the FFT analysis of the proposed 7-level proposed MLI topology. The Figure 7 depicts the MATLAB/ SIMULINK diagram of the proposed 9 level MLI topology in symmetrical configuration. Output waveform and FFT analysis of the proposed 9-level proposed MLI is shown in Figure 8.



Figure 6. THD of the 7-level proposed MLI topology



Figure 7. MATLAB/ SIMULINK diagram of the proposed 9 level MLI topology



Figure 8. Output voltage of proposed 9 level MLI topology



Figure 9. THD of the 9-level proposed MLI topology

The Figure 10 and 11 shows the output wave voltage waveform and FFT analysis of the proposed 13-level MLI topology.



Figure 10. Output voltage of proposed 13 level MLI topology

Grid Interconnection of PV System Using Symmetric and Asymmetric MLI Topology (V S Prasadarao K)



Figure 11. THD of the 13-level proposed MLI topology

From Figure 12, the phase angle between the grid Voltage and grid Connected Current is zero, i.e. the system works under unity power factor and also it satisfies the grid conditions such as frequency, amplitude of the grid voltage and the phase angle.



Figure 12. Grid voltage and grid connected current

The Table 4 depicts the THD analysis of the proposed MLI topology. From Table 4, as the level increases THD value decreases.

Table 4. Comparison of other topologies with proposed topology for symmetrical configuration

1 0	
Number of Levels	THD Content
5-level	20.50 %
7-level	17.10 %
9-level	15.82 %
13-level	14.18 %

5. CONCLUSIONS

This paper proposes a new multilevel inverter topology with reduced number of switches. The main disadvantages of diode clamped MLI, flying capacitor MLI and cascaded H-bridge MLI topology are eliminated in this proposed one. The most attractive renewable energy source (i.e. Photovoltaic) is interfaced to the conventional grid through proposed MLI topology which satisfies the grid requirements like phase angle, frequency and amplitude of the Grid voltage. Finally, the proposed concept is verified with the help of MATLAB/SIMULINLK environment and the corresponding results are also presented. Results shows that as the level of the inverter increases THD value decreases. Form the results, the grid connected current and grid voltage are in phase with each other.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. H. Rashid, "Power Electronics: circuits, Devices and Applications," Pearson Education, Third Edition, 2004.
- [2] M. Calais, et al., "Inverter for singlephase grid connected photovoltaic systems-An overview," Proc. Power Electron. Spec. Conf., vol. 4, pp. 1995-2000, 2002.
- [3] J. S. Lai and F. Z. Peng, "Multilevel Converters A new breed of power converters," *Conference Record of the IEEE-IAS Annual Meeting*, pp. 234S-2356, 1995.
- [4] K. Ding, et al., "Analysis, of an asymmetric modulation methods for cascaded multilevel inverters," IET Power Electron., vol/issue: 5(1), pp. 74–85, 2012.
- [5] J. Napoles, *et al.*, "Selective harmonic mitigation technique for cascaded H-bridge converter with nonequal dc link voltages," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol/issue: 60(5), pp. 1963–1971, 2013.
- [6] N. Farokhnia, et al., "Minimisation of total harmonic distortion in a cascaded multilevel inverter by regulating of voltages dc sources," *IET Power Electron.*, vol/issue: 5(1), pp. 106–114, 2012.
- [7] S. Mekhilef, *et al.*, "Digital control of three phase three-stage hybrid multilevel inverter," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Informat.*, vol/issue: 9(2), pp. 719–727, 2013.
- [8] J. Ewanchuk and J. Salmon, "Three- limb couple inductor operation for parallel multi-level three-phase voltage sourced inverters," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol/issue: 60(5), pp. 1979–1988, 2013.
- [9] E. Babaei, *et al.*, "Extended multilevel converters: An attempt to reduce the number of independent dc voltage sources in cascaded multilevel converters," *IET Power Electron.*, vol/issue: 7(1), pp. 157–166, 2014.
- [10] L. G. Franquelo, *et al.*, "The age of multilevel inverter arrives," *IEEE Ind. Electron. Mag.*, vol/issue: 2(2), pp. 28–39, 2008.
- [11] C. Feng, et al., "Modified phase-shifted PWM control for flying capacitor multilevel inverters," IEEE Trans. Power Electron., vol/issue: 22(1), pp. 178–185, 2007.
- [12] M. A. Chulan, et al., "Seven Levels Symmetric H-Bridge Multilevel Inverter with Less Number of Switching Devices," International Journal of power electronics and drive systems (IJPEDS), vol/issue: 8(1), pp. 109 -116, 2017.
- [13] N. Kumar and J. Baskaran, "Energy Management system for Hybrid RES with Hybrid Cascaded Multilevel inverter," *International Journal of power electronics and drive systems (IJPEDS)*, vol/issue: 4(1), pp. 24-30, 2014.