Validation and test of a novel multi-input converter in extreme conditions using PSOPIC in hybrid power generation environment
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ABSTRACT

The need for renewable energy resources increases due to the day-by-day increase in load demand. Still, there is a need for new technology to operate the existing power system optimally. Distributed generation (DGENs) are helpful in meeting the demand of power due to its lower cost compared to the construction of the complete power system. But this DGEN is constructed using renewable resources, which are intermittent in nature. So, hybrid power generation, which uses multiple sources, is used to satisfy the power need. In this paper, validation, and test of a new multi-input single ended primary inductor converter (MI-SEPIC) is proposed. The performance of the MI-SEPIC converter is tested by connecting photovoltaic (PV), wind, and fuel cells. The proposed system is connected to the grid, and the power transients are analyzed. The direct quadrature (DQ) control of grid synchronization is discussed in this paper. The conventional PI controller is replaced with a hybrid particle swarm optimization tuned proportional integral control (PSOPIC), and the results are compared. Verification is done using MATLAB software. Validation and test with different test cases to prove the sturdiness of the complete system is explained.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The important role is to adjust for power usage to maintain voltage control. The photovoltaic (PV) power control with grid are mostly discussed in [1]–[5]. The grid’s dynamic voltage stability with PV is described in [6]. Performance comparison of maximum power point tracking (MPPT) algorithms [7]. The Z-source inverter’s PV-based grid connection control uses fuzzy logic [8]. Doing so should boost voltage. Fuzzy logic proportional integral controller (PIC) adjustments are shown in [9]–[11], while [12] analyses confusing implementation literature. This study examines grid-coupled PV system in reduction of power disturbance, improvement of stability and reduction of total harmonic distortion. It also investigates ways to improve the PIC, which is being replaced by fuzzy-PIC [13] and the next ANFIS-PIC. The next paragraphs suggest multi-input converters (MICs).

A full MIC construction plan is in [14]. A converter topology-based MIC synthesis approach was devised. Connecting an appropriate pulse current or voltage source to a converter improves device performance. A new multi-port converter is proposed in [15]. This can work as both boost and buck operation. Both positive input and electricity pass through this bidirectional converter. A hybrid PV-battery-
fuel cell power system using a multi-port converter is detailed in [16]. A MIC bidirectional with a good step-up ratio and efficiency is suggested by [17]. The converter can operate in standalone, united power supply and discharge/charge modes.

Preview study [18] offers a 3-port converter with optimized converter architecture for solar power systems which is standalone. This concept seeks to boost system efficiency and cost saving. A MIC bidirectional with a good step-up ratio and efficiency is suggested by [17]. The converter can operate in standalone, united power supply and discharge/charge modes.

Multi-port single ended primary inductor converter (MI-SEPIC) is proposed in the work [26]. Connecting PV, wind, and fuel cells tests MI-SEPIC converter performance. Power oscillations are evaluated when the system proposed is grid-connected. This study examines direct quadrature (DQ) grid synchronization control. A normal PIC is replaced with a PSO-tuned one to compare results.

2. METHOD

Here proposed the new MI-SEPIC converter. The proportional integral controller (PIC) is replaced with particle swarm optimization tuned proportional integral control (PSOPIC) to improve the power oscillation in direct quadrature (DQ) control. The Figure 1 shows the proposed technique, where Figure 2 shows the block diagram of the proposed MI-SEPIC. The controller connections also given clearly. The grid side converter is the three-phase converter with six switches.

2.1. DQ controlled grid tie controller

The grid side controller is made with DQ control. DQ control is a transformation which uses the formula for converting the ABC to DQ and vice versa. The park’s transformation shows in (1). The inverse park transformation shows in (2). Here, ‘u’ can be voltage ‘V’ or current ‘I’. Based on (1) and (2) are common for V & I.

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  u_d \\
  u_q \\
  u_0
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
  \cos(\omega t) & \cos\left(\omega t - \frac{2\pi}{3}\right) & \cos\left(\omega t + \frac{2\pi}{3}\right) \\
  -\sin(\omega t) & -\sin\left(\omega t - \frac{2\pi}{3}\right) & \sin\left(\omega t + \frac{2\pi}{3}\right) \\
  \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
  u_a \\
  u_b \\
  u_c
\end{bmatrix} \tag{1}
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  u_d \\
  u_q \\
  u_0
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
  \cos(\omega t) & -\sin(\omega t) & 1 \\
  -\sin(\omega t) & \cos\left(\omega t - \frac{2\pi}{3}\right) & 1 \\
  \cos\left(\omega + \frac{2\pi}{3}\right) & -\sin\left(\omega t + \frac{2\pi}{3}\right) & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
  u_a \\
  u_b \\
  u_c
\end{bmatrix} \tag{2}
\]

Figure 3 shows the DC voltage regulation which generated the current reference shown in Figure 4 and controlled with PSOPIC. From the voltage reference and measured values given to the PI controller as error. This error is converted as direct current. And the current measurements are converted to direct and quadratic voltages using PSOPIC controller.
2.2. Current regulator designed with PI and PSO-PI

In general, PIC are used in current regulation of electrical systems. The output of the conventional current regulator is expressed in (3).

\[ Out(t) = K_p(I_{dq}^* - I_{dq}) + K_i \int (I_{dq}^* - I_{dq}) \, dt \]  

(3)

Where \( I_{dq}^* \) reference current \( I_{dq} \) - the measured current. It is the responsibility of the PIC to make an effort to reduce the inaccuracy that is caused by the disparity between them. The output is the signal that is advised to be used since it has the fewest number of mistakes (out(t)). While the integral constant is denoted by the letter Ki, the proportional constant refers to Kp. In order to make adjustments to the parameters Kp and Ki, manual tuning processes are utilised. Block schematic of PSOPIC-based current regulation is depicted in Figure 5, which may be found here. Each of the outputs is added together and then presented as output.

2.3. Problem formulation

The objective function used here is settling time minimization. The settling time value from the Simulink diagram is measured using the MATLAB command. The settling time values stored in the workspace. The final value of the settling time is taken here as the objective function. It can be represented as (4).

\[ f(k_p, k_i) = \text{minimize (settling time (s))} \]  

(4)

The inequality constraints are given as (5) and (6).

\[ 0 < k_p < 1200 \]  

(5)

\[ 0 < k_i < 1200 \]  

(6)
The values of the final results from the simulation was not much when kp and ki varied more than 1200. So the maximum value is selected here as 1200. The Figure 6 shows the flowchart of the PSOPIC. The initialization has the KP, KI, C1, and C2 are shown and then the evaluation of the fitness function is done. The KP and KI values are populated in random manner, (5) and (6) are responsible for it. Then the random values one by one placed in the simulation and the settling time is calculated. The settling time for each KP and KI are listed and minimum is taken. This one is taken as best values and then the PSO algorithm repopulates the values and then evaluates the fitness for the next iteration. Till the final iteration the optimal values are found.

Figure 5. PSOPIC control of current regulation

Figure 6. PSOPIC flow chart

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

As sources of power wind power generation, PV power and fuel cell power generation are each utilized. DC power is generated by photovoltaic cells and fuel cells in this context. The generation of wind power is accomplished by the use of permanent-magnet synchronous motor (PMSM) generators, which generate high frequency AC power. By utilizing the diode rectifier bridge, this is transformed into direct current. It is the MI-SEPIC converter that receives these specific supplies. During the boosting process, the MPPT algorithm is used to the converter switch. This is exclusively done for wind and photovoltaic energy. The traditional P & O method is considered to be the MPPT methodology that is utilized. Following this, the inverter is connected to the DC link, and the output of the inverter is connected to the electricity grid once it has been connected. Through the use of the DQ approach, the inverter is controlled. In this case, the direct Id and Iq are both under the control of the DQ controller. There is a connection between the electrical grid and a utility load of 1000 kW. Once this need has been met, the grid will then take the electricity that is available in excess of what is required. The simulation's parameters are listed in Table 1, which may be found here. Various tests are taken to prove the proposed concept is stable. The test cases are shown as: i) case 1: sudden load change in the system, ii) case 2: AC side fault, and iii) case 3: DC side fault.

Figure 7 shows the DC link voltage in V. It shows the settling is faster in PSOPIC compared with PI. Figure 8 shows the load change in the system. The load change has oscillation in the beginning of the waveform. Figure 9 shows the measured solar power. Here also the PSOPIC is faster and Figure 9 shows that the oscillations in the power also reduced. Figure 10 shows the zoomed Figure 9. Figure 11 shows the fuel cell measured power. It shows the PSOPIC is faster in settled and stability is good compared to PI. Figure 12 shows the wind power measured power. It shows the oscillations are less in PSOPIC. Figure 13 zoomed view of Figure 12. Table 2 shows the response time comparison. Table 3 shows the settling time comparison. Figure 12 shows the response time comparison.
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Table 1. Parameters used for simulation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Module type</th>
<th>SunPower SPR-305-WHT</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>PEMFC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PV power plant</td>
<td>Number of series</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Fuel Cell Power</td>
<td>(W)</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>connected modules</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Voltage (V)</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>per string</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of parallel</td>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
<td>Series connected fuel cells</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>connected modules</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nominal power in W</td>
<td>24 kW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>per string</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Voltage (V)</td>
<td>0.4 kW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Open circuit voltage (V)</td>
<td>64.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Frequency (Hz)</td>
<td>50 Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Short circuit current (A)</td>
<td>5.96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maximum power point voltage (V)</td>
<td>54.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maximum power point current (A)</td>
<td>5.58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind power plant</td>
<td>Nominal power in W</td>
<td>51.8 kW</td>
<td>Transformer Nominal power in W</td>
<td>100 kW</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nominal mechanical power (W)</td>
<td>20 kW</td>
<td>Primary voltage</td>
<td>0.4 kW</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Base wind speed (m/s)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Secondary voltage</td>
<td>11 kW</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maximum power at base wind speed</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>Filter R</td>
<td>2 mohm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of turbines</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>L</td>
<td>1.5 mH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Torque in Nm</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>L1, L12, L13</td>
<td>5 mH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DC voltage rating (V)</td>
<td>600</td>
<td></td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>12 uF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Speed in RPM</td>
<td>4500</td>
<td></td>
<td>L2</td>
<td>5 mH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Co</td>
<td>12000 uF</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 7. DC link voltage in V

Figure 8. Load change in the system

Figure 9. Measured solar power

Figure 10. Zoomed of figure 9

Figure 11. Fuel cell measured power

Figure 12. Wind power measured power

Figure 13. Zoomed view of Figure 12
4. CONCLUSION

In the course of this research, the testing and validation of MI-SEPIC is suggested. In order to analyses the performance of the MI-SEPIC converter, photovoltaic, wind, and fuel cell connections are necessary. The power oscillations are analyzed as it is connected to the grid. In this study, grid synchronization control in DQ mode is the subject of discussion. The PSOPIC standard is used as a point of comparison with the traditional PIC. A comparison is made between the outcomes when a PSOPIC is used in place of a normal PI. At this point, a MATLAB simulation is being executed with the intention of validating the proposed system. The results demonstrate that the method that was proposed is superior to the method that was traditionally used.
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